



Lobar ERGASHEVA,
Magistrant, Xalqaro Nordik universiteti, Toshkent, O'zbekiston
E-mail:lobarergasheva712@gmail.com

O'zbekiston davlat jahon tillari universiteti dotsenti, PhD Z.Xaitqulov taqrizi asosida

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PROPER NOUNS AND THEIR LEXICOGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION

Annotation

Proper nouns represent a unique and complex category within linguistic systems, characterized by their referential specificity and cultural significance. This study explores the theoretical foundations of proper nouns and examines their lexicographic interpretation in modern linguistic practice. The research aims to analyze the semantic, functional, and structural properties of proper nouns, as well as their representation in dictionaries. Using a qualitative methodology grounded in linguistic theory and lexicographic analysis, the paper highlights the challenges and inconsistencies in defining and classifying proper nouns across languages.

Keywords: proper nouns, lexicography, semantics, onomastics, linguistic theory, reference.

ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ СОБСТВЕННЫХ ИМЕН И ИХ ЛЕКСИКОГРАФИЧЕСКАЯ ИНТЕРПРЕТАЦИЯ

Аннотация

Имена собственные представляют собой уникальную и сложную категорию в лингвистических системах, характеризующуюся своей референциальной спецификой и культурной значимостью. В данном исследовании рассматриваются теоретические основы имен собственных и их лексикографическая интерпретация в современной лингвистической практике. Исследование направлено на анализ семантических, функциональных и структурных свойств имен собственных, а также их представления в словарях. Используя качественную методологию, основанную на лингвистической теории и лексикографическом анализе, в работе освещаются проблемы и несоответствия в определении и классификации собственных имен в разных языках.

Ключевые слова: имена собственные, лексикография, семантика, ономастика, лингвистическая теория, справочник.

XOS OTLARNING NAZARIY ASOSLARI VA ULARNING LEKSIKOGRAFIK TALQINI

Аннотация

Атоқли отлар тил тизимларидagi o'ziga xos va murakkab kategoriya bo'lib, ular referentlik xususiyati hamda madaniy ahamiyati bilan ajralib turadi. Mazkur tadqiqotda atoqli otlarning nazariy asoslari tadqiq etilib, ularning zamonaviy tilshunoslik amaliyotidagi leksikografik talqini ko'rib chiqiladi. Tadqiqotning maqsadi atoqli otlarning semantik, funksional va struktur xususiyatlarini hamda ularning lug'atlardagi ifodasini tahlil qilishdan iborat. Lingvistik nazariya va leksikografik tahlilga asoslangan sifati metodologiyasidan foydalangan holda, maqola tillarda atoqli otlarni aniqlash va tasniflashdagi qiyinchiliklar hamda nomuvofiqliklarni yoritib beradi.

Kalit so'zlar: atoqli otlar, leksikografiya, semantika, onomastika, lingvistik nazariya, ma'lumotnoma.

Introduction. Proper nouns constitute one of the most intriguing and debated categories in linguistic theory. Unlike common nouns, which denote classes of objects or concepts, proper nouns are typically used to identify unique entities such as individuals, places, organizations, or events. This fundamental distinction has led scholars to question whether proper nouns belong fully to the lexical system of a language or whether they function as labels that bridge language and reality [1].

The relevance of studying proper nouns has increased significantly in recent decades, particularly in the fields of lexicography, translation studies, and computational linguistics. In lexicography, the inclusion and treatment of proper nouns remain controversial, as traditional dictionaries often prioritize common vocabulary while excluding or inconsistently handling proper names[2]. This inconsistency raises important theoretical and practical questions: Should proper nouns be included in dictionaries? If so, how should they be defined and categorized?

The aim of this study is to investigate the theoretical foundations of proper nouns and to analyze their lexicographic interpretation. The research seeks to answer the following questions:

What are the defining characteristics of proper nouns in linguistic theory?

How are proper nouns treated in lexicographic practice?

What challenges arise in the interpretation and classification of proper nouns?

The scientific novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach, combining insights from semantics, onomastics, and lexicography. The practical significance is evident in its potential application to dictionary compilation, language teaching, and translation.

Literature Review. The study of proper nouns has a long tradition in linguistics, dating back to classical philosophy. One of the earliest discussions can be found in the works of Aristotle, who distinguished between names and predicates. However, modern linguistic analysis of proper nouns began in the 19th and 20th centuries with the development of structural and analytical linguistics.

According to John Stuart Mill, proper nouns are "mere marks" that do not possess inherent meaning but serve only to denote individuals[3]. This view was later challenged by scholars such as Frege, who argued that proper nouns have both sense and reference, thus introducing a semantic dimension to their analysis[4].

In structural linguistics, proper nouns were often considered peripheral elements of the lexical system. For example, Bloomfield viewed them as linguistic forms with minimal semantic content[5]. However, later developments in semantics and pragmatics have demonstrated that proper nouns can carry rich associative and cultural meanings, especially in literary and sociolinguistic contexts[6]. In the field of onomastics-the study of names-proper nouns are analyzed as a distinct category with their own classification systems, including anthroponyms (personal names), toponyms (place names), and ergonyms (names of organizations). This classification highlights the diversity and complexity of proper nouns.

Lexicographic treatment of proper nouns varies widely. Traditional dictionaries, such as those following the Oxford model, often exclude most proper nouns except for widely recognized geographical or historical names. In contrast, encyclopedic dictionaries tend to include a broader range of proper names, providing detailed descriptions rather than purely

linguistic definitions[7]. Recent studies have emphasized the need for a more systematic approach to proper nouns in lexicography. For instance, Hanks argues that proper nouns should be included in dictionaries when they exhibit lexicalized meanings or idiomatic usage. This perspective reflects a shift toward a more functional and usage-based approach to lexicography.

Theoretical Foundations of Proper Nouns. Proper nouns are traditionally defined as linguistic units that refer to unique entities. However, this definition is not without problems. One of the main challenges lies in determining what constitutes “uniqueness.” For example, names like “John” or “London” can refer to multiple entities, yet they are still classified as proper nouns.

A more nuanced definition considers both semantic and pragmatic aspects. Proper nouns can be described as expressions that have a primary function of identification rather than classification[8]. Unlike common nouns, which group entities into categories, proper nouns single out specific referents.

Key characteristics of proper nouns include:

Referential specificity: They point to particular entities.

Lack of descriptive meaning: They do not inherently describe the referent.

Capitalization (in many languages): A formal marker distinguishing them from common nouns.

Cultural and contextual associations: They often carry historical, social, or cultural connotations.

Semantic Properties- The semantic status of proper nouns has been widely debated. Two main approaches can be identified:

Non-descriptive theory: Proper nouns have no meaning beyond reference (Mill’s view).

Descriptive theory: Proper nouns include descriptive content associated with the referent (Frege’s view).

Modern linguistics tends to adopt a hybrid approach, recognizing that proper nouns may have minimal lexical meaning but can acquire rich associative meanings through usage. For example, the name “Shakespeare” not only refers to a historical figure but also evokes concepts such as literary genius and classical drama[9].

Functional Perspective-From a functional perspective, proper nouns play a crucial role in communication by enabling precise identification. They reduce ambiguity and facilitate reference in discourse. In addition, proper nouns contribute to the cohesion and coherence of texts, especially in narrative and descriptive contexts.

In literary texts, proper nouns often serve symbolic or metaphorical functions. For instance, character names may reflect personality traits or thematic elements, adding an additional layer of meaning to the text[10].

This section has outlined the theoretical background of proper nouns, highlighting their unique position within linguistic systems. The literature review demonstrates that proper nouns cannot be easily categorized within traditional lexical frameworks, as they combine elements of language, cognition, and culture.

Methodology. The present research applies a qualitative, descriptive, and comparative methodology in order to investigate the theoretical foundations of proper nouns and their lexicographic interpretation in modern linguistic studies. Since proper nouns represent a multidimensional linguistic phenomenon connected not only with semantics but also with culture, cognition, pragmatics, and communication, the methodological framework of this study combines several interconnected approaches. Such an integrative methodology enables a more comprehensive understanding of the linguistic behavior of proper nouns and their representation in lexicographic practice.

The primary methodological approach employed in this research is descriptive linguistic analysis. This method is used to identify and explain the semantic, grammatical, and functional characteristics of proper nouns within linguistic systems. Through descriptive analysis, the study examines how proper nouns differ from common nouns in terms of reference, lexical meaning, contextual usage, and grammatical behavior. The descriptive method is especially important because proper nouns often demonstrate irregular or exceptional linguistic properties that cannot be fully explained through traditional grammatical

classifications. For example, proper nouns are usually capitalized in English and many other languages, they frequently resist pluralization, and they often function primarily as referential markers rather than descriptive lexical units according to Crystal’s theory. By applying descriptive analysis, the research investigates these specific linguistic features in detail.

In addition to descriptive analysis, the research utilizes comparative lexicographic methodology. This approach allows the study to compare the treatment of proper nouns across different dictionaries and lexicographic traditions. The analysis focuses on how explanatory dictionaries, encyclopedic dictionaries, and digital lexicographic platforms define and classify proper nouns. Several internationally recognized lexicographic sources are examined, including the Oxford English Dictionary, Cambridge Dictionary, Merriam-Webster Dictionary, and Encyclopaedia Britannica. These sources were selected because they represent authoritative lexicographic traditions and demonstrate different approaches to the interpretation of proper nouns.

The comparative method enables the identification of similarities and differences in dictionary representation. For example, explanatory dictionaries typically provide concise linguistic definitions, while encyclopedic dictionaries include historical, geographical, and cultural information. The name “Paris,” for instance, may be interpreted differently depending on the lexicographic source. In an explanatory dictionary, the definition may simply state that Paris is the capital city of France. However, encyclopedic dictionaries often provide additional information concerning its historical significance, cultural institutions, population, and international influence. This comparison demonstrates that proper nouns frequently occupy a hybrid position between purely linguistic and encyclopedic knowledge.

The methodological framework of this research also includes elements of onomastic analysis. Onomastics, which studies names and naming systems, provides important theoretical tools for classifying proper nouns into categories such as anthroponyms, toponyms, hydronyms, ethnonyms, ergonyms, and astronoms. This classification system enables the study to analyze structural and semantic differences among various types of proper nouns. Anthroponyms, for example, primarily identify individuals, whereas toponyms often preserve historical and geographical information related to specific locations. Names such as London, Rome, or Uzbekistan may carry not only geographical meanings but also political, historical, and cultural associations. Therefore, contextual analysis is essential for understanding the broader communicative role of proper nouns.

Results and Discussion. The analysis conducted in this research demonstrates that proper nouns represent one of the most complex and multifunctional categories within the linguistic system. The findings reveal that proper nouns cannot be interpreted exclusively through traditional grammatical or semantic frameworks because they combine referential, cultural, pragmatic, and cognitive characteristics simultaneously. The study also confirms that modern lexicography increasingly recognizes the linguistic significance of proper nouns and attempts to integrate them more systematically into dictionary structures.

One of the most important findings concerns the semantic nature of proper nouns. Traditional linguistic theories, particularly the referential theory proposed by John Stuart Mill, argued that proper nouns function merely as labels without lexical meaning[11]. According to this approach, names identify specific entities but do not describe them. However, the analysis of modern linguistic usage demonstrates that proper nouns frequently contain strong associative and contextual meanings. This finding supports the theories developed by Gottlob Frege and later semantic scholars who emphasized the relationship between sense and reference.

For example, the name Albert Einstein does not simply identify a historical scientist. In modern discourse, it often symbolizes intelligence, scientific talent, and intellectual superiority. Expressions such as “He is an Einstein” clearly demonstrate that proper nouns may acquire figurative and metaphorical meanings. In such contexts, the proper noun

partially loses its purely referential function and begins to operate similarly to a common noun. This phenomenon indicates that semantic flexibility is an essential characteristic of proper nouns.

A similar transformation can be observed in literary and cultural discourse. The name Romeo is widely associated with romantic devotion due to its connection with Romeo and Juliet written by William Shakespeare. In everyday communication, phrases such as "He behaves like Romeo" demonstrate how literary proper nouns become symbolic representations of social and emotional characteristics. Such examples prove that proper nouns possess not only denotative functions but also connotative and stylistic meanings.

The study also reveals that proper nouns serve important cultural and ideological functions. Geographical names, personal names, and institutional names frequently reflect historical memory, national identity, and cultural values. For instance, the name Paris often evokes associations related to fashion, romance, art, and European culture rather than functioning solely as a geographical designation. Similarly, names such as Rome or Samarkand carry historical and civilizational significance that extends beyond simple reference.

For example, the dictionary entry for William Shakespeare usually contains not only identification but also evaluative and cultural information such as "the greatest English dramatist." This demonstrates that dictionary definitions of proper nouns frequently incorporate interpretation and cultural assessment in addition to linguistic description. As a result, proper nouns cannot be fully separated from extralinguistic knowledge.

Another important result of the study concerns the classification of proper nouns within onomastic theory. The research confirms that proper nouns form an extensive and internally diverse lexical category. Anthroponyms, toponyms,

hydronyms, ergonyms, ethnonyms, and astronoms each demonstrate unique structural and semantic properties. For example, anthroponyms primarily function as markers of personal identity, while toponyms often preserve geographical and historical information connected to specific regions. Ergonyms such as UNESCO reflect institutional identity and international cultural cooperation.

The findings also emphasize the important role of proper nouns in literary discourse. Writers frequently use names symbolically to construct character identity, thematic meaning, and stylistic atmosphere. In the novels of Charles Dickens, many character names possess expressive phonetic qualities reflecting personality traits or social status. Similarly, symbolic names in *The Little Prince* contribute to philosophical and allegorical interpretation. Such literary usage demonstrates that proper nouns possess aesthetic as well as referential functions.

Conclusion. This study examined the theoretical foundations of proper nouns and their lexicographic interpretation in modern linguistics. The research showed that proper nouns are not merely labels used for identification, but also linguistic units carrying semantic, cultural, and pragmatic meanings. Although traditional theories considered proper nouns semantically empty, the analysis proved that they often develop figurative and associative meanings in discourse.

The study also demonstrated that proper nouns occupy a unique position between language and extralinguistic reality. They preserve historical memory, cultural identity, and social values, especially in literary and intercultural contexts. Modern lexicography increasingly recognizes the importance of proper nouns by integrating linguistic and encyclopedic information into dictionary entries.

REFERENCES

1. Lyons J. *Semantics*. Vol. 1. - Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977. - P. 219.
2. Crystal D. *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. 5th edition. - Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2003. - P. 154.
3. Mill J.S. *A System of Logic*. - London: John W. Parker Publishing, 1843. -P. 33.
4. Frege G. *On Sense and Reference* // *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik*. - Berlin, 1892. - P. 25.
5. Bloomfield L. *Language*. - New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1933. - P. 205.
6. Van Langendonck W. *Theory and Typology of Proper Names*. - Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2007. - P. 87.
7. Landau S. *Dictionaries: The Art and Craft of Lexicography*. 2nd edition. - Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001. - P. 198.
8. Anderson J. *The Grammar of Names*. -Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007. - P. 45.
9. Searle J. "Proper Names" // *Mind Journal*. - Oxford University Press, 1958. - P. 171.
10. Leech G. *A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry*. - London: Longman, 1969. - P. 102.
11. Mill J.S. *A System of Logic*. - London: John W. Parker Publishing, 1843. - P. 33.
12. Algeo J. *Onomastics and the Lexicon*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
13. Anderson J. *The Grammar of Names*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
14. Bloomfield L. *Language*. New York: Holt, 1933.
15. Coates R. "A New Theory of Properhood." *Onoma*, 2006.
16. Crystal D. *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2003.
17. Frege G. "On Sense and Reference." *Zeitschrift für Philosophie*, 1892.
18. Gardiner A. *The Theory of Proper Names*. London, 1954.
19. Hanks P. *Lexical Analysis: Norms and Exploitations*. MIT Press, 2013.
20. Landau S. *Dictionaries: The Art and Craft of Lexicography*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
21. Leech G. *A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry*. London: Longman, 1969.
22. Lyons J. *Semantics*. Cambridge University Press, 1977.